arXiv:1608.00517v1 [hep-ph] 1 Aug 2016

The width of the Δ-resonance at two loop order in baryon chiral perturbation theory

Jambul Gegelia,[1,2] Ulf-G. Meißner,[3,1] Dmitrij Siemens,[4] and De-Liang Yao[1]

[1] *Institute for Advanced Simulation, Institut für Kernphysik and Jülich Center for Hadron Physics, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany*

[2] *Tbilisi State University, 0186 Tbilisi, Georgia*

[3] *Helmholtz Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik and Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics, Universität Bonn, D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

[4] *Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany*

(Dated: August 1, 2016)

We calculate the width of the delta resonance at leading two-loop order in baryon chiral perturbation theory. This gives a correlation between the leading pion-nucleon-delta and pion-delta couplings, which is relevant for the analysis of pion-nucleon scattering and other processes.

Chiral effective field theory provides a controllable perturbative approach of strongly interacting hadrons at low energies. A systematic power counting organizes the chiral effective Lagrangian and observables as a perturbative series in the Goldstone boson sector of QCD [1, 2]. Effective field theories (EFTs) with pions and nucleons proved to be more complicated, however, the problem of a consistent power counting [3] can be solved by using either the heavy-baryon approach [4–6] or by choosing a suitable renormalization scheme in a manifestly Lorentz invariant formulation [7–10]. Due to the relatively small mass difference between the nucleon and the Δ-resonance and the strong coupling to the pion-nucleon system, the delta can be also included in a systematic way in chiral EFT (see e.g. Refs. [11–15]). A clear drawback of the low-energy EFT approach is that unlike the underlying QCD, the Lagrangian contains an infinite number of parameters, the low-energy constants (LECs). However, only a finite number of them contributes to physical quantities calculated up to a given order. These parameters are fixed by fitting them to experimental data or can be calculated on the lattice, allowing one to predict other quantities. A precise determination of these LECs is an important and highly non-trivial task, especially when the Δ-resonance is included because there are more LECs for a given process than in the pure πN effective Lagrangian.

In this work we calculate the width of the delta resonance in a systematic expansion in terms of the pion mass and the nucleon-delta mass difference (collectively denoted by q) in the framework of baryon chiral perturbation theory up-to-and-including order q^5, which includes the leading two-loop contributions. This counting is often referred to as the small scale expansion, see e.g. Ref. [11]. We use the obtained results to fix a combination of pion-nucleon-delta couplings appearing in this expression from the experimental data, more precisely, we obtain a correlation between the leading $\pi N\Delta$ and $\pi\Delta$ couplings.

The dressed propagator of the Δ-resonance in d space-time dimensions can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} -iD^{\mu\nu}(p) \;=\; & -i\left[g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu}{d-1} - \frac{p^\mu\gamma^\nu - \gamma^\mu p^\nu}{(d-1)m_\Delta^0} - \frac{d-2}{(d-1)(m_\Delta^0)^2}\,p^\mu p^\nu\right]\frac{1}{\not{p} - m_\Delta^0 - \Sigma_1 - \not{p}\,\Sigma_6} \\ & +\text{ pole free terms}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where m_Δ^0 is the pole mass of the delta in the chiral limit, and $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}$ is the self-energy of the Δ-resonance. It can be parameterized as

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{\mu\nu} \;=\; & \Sigma_1(p^2)\,g^{\mu\nu} + \Sigma_2(p^2)\,\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \Sigma_3(p^2)\,p^\mu\gamma^\nu + \Sigma_4(p^2)\,\gamma^\mu p^\nu + \Sigma_5(p^2)\,p^\mu p^\nu \\ & +\; \Sigma_6(p^2)\,\not{p}\,g^{\mu\nu} + \Sigma_7(p^2)\,\not{p}\,\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \Sigma_8(p^2)\,\not{p}\,p^\mu\gamma^\nu + \Sigma_9(p^2)\,\not{p}\,\gamma^\mu p^\nu + \Sigma_{10}(p^2)\,\not{p}\,p^\mu p^\nu\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The complex pole position z of the Δ-propagator can be found by solving the equation

$$z - m_\Delta^0 - \Sigma_1(z^2) - z\,\Sigma_6(z^2) \equiv z - m_\Delta^0 - \Sigma(z) = 0\,. \tag{3}$$

The pole mass and the width are defined by parameterizing the pole position z as

$$z = m_\Delta - i\,\frac{\Gamma_\Delta}{2}\,. \tag{4}$$



FIG. 1: One and two-loop self-energy diagrams contributing to the width of the delta resonance up-to-and-including fifth order according to the standard power counting. The dashed and double solid lines represent the pions and the delta resonances, respectively. The double (solid-dotted) lines in the loops correspond to either nucleons or deltas. The numbers in the circles give the chiral orders of the vertices.

The one- and two-loop self-energy diagrams contributing to the width of the delta resonance up to order q^5 are shown in Fig. 1, where the counterterm diagrams are not displayed. The underlying effective chiral Lagrangian of pions, nucleons and the delta resonances is given in the Appendix. For more details and the explicit discussion of the power counting, relevant for the current calculation of the delta width at leading two-loop order, we refer to Refs. [16, 17].

We solve Eq. (3) perturbatively order by order in the loop expansion. For that purpose we write the self-energy as an expansion in the number of loops (which is equivalent to an expansion in $\hbar$)[1]

$$\Sigma = \hbar\,\Sigma_{(1)} + \hbar^2\Sigma_{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^3)\,, \tag{5}$$

and obtain the following expression for the width (modulo higher order corrections)

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_\Delta \;&=\; \hbar\, 2i\,\mathrm{Im}\left[\Sigma_{(1)}(m_\Delta)\right] \\ &+\; \hbar^2\, 2i\left\{\mathrm{Im}\left[\Sigma_{(1)}(m_\Delta)\right]\mathrm{Re}\left[\Sigma'_{(1)}(m_\Delta)\right] + \mathrm{Re}\left[\Sigma_{(1)}(m_\Delta)\right]\mathrm{Im}\left[\Sigma'_{(1)}(m_\Delta)\right]\right\} \\ &+\; \hbar^2\, 2i\,\mathrm{Im}\left[\Sigma_{(2)}(m_\Delta)\right] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^3).\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

To calculate the contributions of the one-loop self-energy diagrams to the width, specified in the first two lines of Eq. (6), we use the corresponding explicit expressions. For the two-

[1] Note that we retain the powers of $\hbar$ for clarity here, otherwise we use natural units $\hbar = c = 1$.

loop contribution, i.e. the terms in the third line, we use the Cutkosky cutting rules, that is we relate it to the corresponding decay amplitude $A_{\Delta\to\pi N}$ via

$$\Gamma_\Delta = \frac{[(m_\Delta + m_N)^2 - M_\pi^2]\left[(m_\Delta^2 - m_N^2 - M_\pi^2)^2 - 4M_\pi^2 m_N^2\right]^{3/2}}{192\pi m_\Delta^5}\,|A_{\Delta\to\pi N}|^2\,, \tag{7}$$

where we have parameterised the amplitude for the decay $\Delta_\mu^i(p_i) \to \pi^a(q_a)N(p_f)$ as

$$\mathcal{A} = \bar{u}_N(p_f)\left\{A_{\Delta\to\pi N}\, q_a^\mu \xi_{ai}^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\} u_\mu(p_i)\,. \tag{8}$$

The tree and one-loop diagrams contributing to the $\Delta \to \pi N$ decay up to order q^3 are shown in Fig. 2. See again Refs. [16, 17] for the details on the power counting of the amplitude and the total width of the resonance.



FIG. 2: Feynman diagrams contributing to the decay $\Delta \to N\pi$ up to leading one-loop order. Dashed, solid and double lines represent pions, nucleons and delta resonances, respectively. Numbers in the circles mark the chiral orders of the vertices.

Calculating one- and two-loop contributions in the delta width as specified above we observe that by defining a linear combination of $\pi N\Delta$ couplings

$$h_A = h - (b_3\Delta_{23} + b_8\,\Delta_{123}) - (f_1\Delta_{23} + f_2\,\Delta_{123})\,\Delta_{123} + 2(2f_4 - f_5)M_\pi^2\,, \tag{9}$$

with

$$\Delta_{123} \equiv \frac{M_\pi^2 + m_N^2 - m_\Delta^2}{2m_N}\,, \qquad \Delta_{23} \equiv m_N - m_\Delta\,, \tag{10}$$

modulo higher order terms, the whole explicit dependence on the couplings b_3, b_8, f_1, f_2, f_4 and f_5 disappears from the expression of the delta width. This allows us to extract with a good accuracy the numerical value of h_A from the experimental value of the delta width for a given value of the leading $\pi\Delta$ coupling constant g_1. Such a correlation between $\pi N\Delta$ and



FIG. 3: Value of the pion-nucleon-delta coupling h_A as a function of the pion-delta coupling g_1 represented by the solid red line and the corresponding band given by the dashed red lines. The central line corresponds to $\Gamma_\Delta = 100$ MeV, while the band is obtained by varying Γ_Δ in the range of $98 - 102$ MeV [18]. The dot-dashed lines correspond to various values of the delta width indicated by their values (in MeV). For comparison, the blue dot with error bars represents the real part of the coupling from Ref. [16], the purple dots stand for the values of the leading order pion-nucleon-delta coupling obtained in the large-N_c limit and the horizontal dashed line with cyan band corresponds to the value (with error represented by the band) from Ref. [19].

$\pi\Delta$ couplings exists in the large N_C limit but, as far as we know, is observed here first for the real world with $N_C = 3$.

We use the following standard values of the parameters [18]: $g_A = 1.27$, $M_\pi = 139\,\text{MeV}$, $m_N = 939\,\text{MeV}$, $m_\Delta = 1210\,\text{MeV}$, $F_\pi = 92.2\,\text{MeV}$ and obtain for the full decay width of the delta resonance

$$\Gamma_\Delta \;=\; 53.91\,h_A^2 + 0.87 g_1^2 h_A^2 - 3.31 g_1 h_A^2 - 0.99\,h_A^4. \tag{11}$$

Substituting $\Gamma_\Delta = 100 \pm 2$ MeV from the PDG in Eq. (11), we extract h_A as a function of g_1. The obtained result is plotted in Fig. 3. For comparison we also show the numerical value of the $\pi N\Delta$ coupling from Ref. [19] (extracted at leading one-loop order and thus independent of g_1), the one obtained by applying symmetry considerations in the large-N_C limit[2] and

[2] As the large-N_C considerations do not fix the relative sign between the two couplings, we must display two values of g_1 for a given value h_A here.

the real part of the same linear combination of the couplings, as in current work, fitted to the pion-nucleon scattering phase shifts of Ref. [16], which uses a different renormalization scheme leading to a complex valued h_A. Note also that Ref. [11] extracts 1.05 as the value of the leading order $\pi N\Delta$ coupling in the heavy baryon approach.

To summarize, in the current work we have calculated the width of the delta resonance up to leading two-loop order in baryon chiral perturbation theory. Using the obtained results we fixed a combination of pion-nucleon-delta couplings, which also contributes in the pion nucleon scattering process, as a function of the leading pion-delta coupling.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by Georgian Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation (grant FR/417/6-100/14) and by the DFG (CRC 110). The work of UGM was also supported by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Presidents International Fellowship Initiative (PIFI) (Grant No. 2015VMA076). The work of DS was supported by the Ruhr University Research School PLUS, funded by Germany's Excellence Initiative [DFG GSC 98/3].

Appendix A: Effective Lagrangian

Here, we list the relevant terms of the chiral effective Lagrangian with pions, nucleons and deltas contributing to our calculation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\pi N} &= \bar\Psi_N \left\{ i\not{D} - m + \frac{1}{2} g \not{u}\gamma^5 \right\} \Psi_N \,, \\
\mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\pi\Delta} &= -\bar\Psi^i_\mu \xi^{\frac{3}{2}}_{ij} \left\{ \left(i\not{D}^{jk} - m_{\Delta 0}\delta^{jk} \right) g^{\mu\nu} - i\left(\gamma^\mu D^{\nu,jk} + \gamma^\nu D^{\mu,jk} \right) + i\gamma^\mu \not{D}^{jk}\gamma^\nu + m_{\Delta 0}\delta^{jk}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{g_1}{2}\not{u}^{jk}\gamma_5 g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{g_2}{2}(\gamma^\mu u^{\nu,jk} + u^{\nu,jk}\gamma^\mu)\gamma_5 + \frac{g_3}{2}\gamma^\mu \not{u}^{jk}\gamma_5\gamma^\nu \right\} \xi^{\frac{3}{2}}_{kl}\Psi^l_\nu \,, \\
\mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{\pi N\Delta} &= h\, \bar\Psi^i_\mu \xi^{\frac{3}{2}}_{ij} \Theta^{\mu\alpha}(z_1)\, \omega^j_\alpha \Psi_N + \text{h.c.}\,, \\
\mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{\pi N\Delta} &= \bar\Psi^i_\mu \xi^{\frac{3}{2}}_{ij} \Theta^{\mu\alpha}(z_2) \left[i\, b_3 \omega^j_{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\beta + i\,\frac{b_8}{m}\omega^j_{\alpha\beta} i\, D^\beta \right] \Psi_N + h.c.\,, \\
\mathcal{L}^{(3)}_{\pi N\Delta} &= \bar\Psi^i_\mu \xi^{\frac{3}{2}}_{ij} \Theta^{\mu\nu}(z_3) \left[\frac{f_1}{m}[D_\nu, \omega^j_{\alpha\beta}]\gamma^\alpha i\, D^\beta - \frac{f_2}{2m^2}[D_\nu, \omega^j_{\alpha\beta}]\{D^\alpha, D^\beta\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + f_4 \omega^j_\nu \langle \chi_+ \rangle + f_5 [D_\nu, i\chi^j_-] \right] \Psi_N + h.c.,
\end{aligned}
\tag{A1}
$$

where Ψ_N and Ψ_ν are the isospin doublet field of the nucleon and the vector-spinor isovector-isospinor Rarita-Schwinger field of the Δ-resonance with bare masses m and $m_{\Delta 0}$, respectively. $\xi^{\frac{3}{2}}$ is the isospin-3/2 projector, $\omega^i_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\langle \tau^i u_\alpha \rangle$ and $\Theta^{\mu\alpha}(z) = g^{\mu\alpha} + z\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu$. Using field redefinitions the off-shell parameters z can be absorbed in LECs of other terms of the

effective Lagrangian and therefore they can be chosen arbitrarily [20, 21]. We fix the off-shell structure of the interactions with the delta by adopting $g_2 = g_3 = 0$ and $z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = 0$. For vanishing external sources, the covariant derivatives are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu \Psi_N &= (\partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu)\, \Psi_N\,, \quad \Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\, \left[u^\dagger \partial_\mu u + u \partial_\mu u^\dagger\right] = \tau_k \Gamma_{\mu,k}, \\ \left(D_\mu \Psi\right)_{\nu,i} &= \partial_\mu \Psi_{\nu,i} - 2\, i\, \epsilon_{ijk} \Gamma_{\mu,k} \Psi_{\nu,j} + \Gamma_\mu \Psi_{\nu,i}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

[1] S. Weinberg, Physica **A96**, 327 (1979).

[2] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Annals Phys. **158**, 142 (1984).

[3] J. Gasser, M. E. Sainio, and A. Švarc, Nucl. Phys. **B307**, 779 (1988).

[4] E. E. Jenkins and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B **255**, 558 (1991).

[5] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, J. Kambor and U.-G. Meißner, Nucl. Phys. B **388**, 315 (1992).

[6] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and U.-G. Meißner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **4**, 193 (1995).

[7] H. B. Tang, arXiv:hep-ph/9607436.

[8] T. Becher and H. Leutwyler, Eur. Phys. J. C **9**, 643 (1999).

[9] J. Gegelia and G. Japaridze, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 114038 (1999).

[10] T. Fuchs, J. Gegelia, G. Japaridze, and S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 056005 (2003).

[11] T. R. Hemmert, B. R. Holstein, and J. Kambor, J. Phys. G **24**, 1831 (1998).

[12] V. Pascalutsa and D. R. Phillips, Phys. Rev. C **67**, 055202 (2003).

[13] V. Bernard, T. R. Hemmert, and U.-G. Meißner, Phys. Lett. B **565**, 137 (2003).

[14] V. Pascalutsa, M. Vanderhaeghen, and S. N. Yang, Phys. Rept. **437**, 125 (2007).

[15] C. Hacker, N. Wies, J. Gegelia, and S. Scherer, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 055203 (2005).

[16] D. L. Yao, D. Siemens, V. Bernard, E. Epelbaum, A. M. Gasparyan, J. Gegelia, H. Krebs and U.-G. Meißner, JHEP **1605**, 038 (2016).

[17] J. Gegelia, U.-G. Meißner and D. L. Yao, Phys. Lett. **B** (2016). [arXiv:1606.04873 [hep-ph]]. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.07.068

[18] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).

[19] V. Bernard, E. Epelbaum, H. Krebs and U.-G. Meißner, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 054032 (2013).

[20] H. B. Tang and P. J. Ellis, Phys. Lett. B **387**, 9 (1996).

[21] H. Krebs, E. Epelbaum and U.-G. Meißner, Phys. Lett. B **683**, 222 (2010).